



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,624	10/28/2003	Ryan Taylor Herbst	5693P225	5633
	7590 04/13/2007 PPLIANCE/BLAKELY		EXAM	INER
12400 WILSHIRE BLVD		•	PEUGH, BRIAN R	
SEVENTH FLO LOS ANGELE	OOR S, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS III,ODEL			2187	••.
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE.	DELIVERY MODE	
31 🗅	DAYS	. 04/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,624	HERBST ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	- Art Unit	
	Brian R. Peugh	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	_	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ja	nnuary 2007.	•	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.[). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 52-57</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		1	
8) Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 52-57</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election require	ement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u>_</u> ·	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,624

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 and 52-57 have been considered but are most in view of the Election/Restriction requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-17 and 55-57, drawn to data access blocking, classified in class
 711, subclass 152.
- Claims 52-54, drawn to co-processor function offloading, classified in class 712, subclass 34.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as having a co-processor being able to take on work or functions from a network processor. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together.

Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found

allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Peugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00am to 4:30pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday's from 7:00am to 4:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,624

Art Unit: 2187

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks, can be reached on (571) 272-4201. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian R. Heugh

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2187 April 5, 2007